Assemblage Characterization of Giardia duodenalis and Comparison of the Pathogenicity of Intermittent Spreading Among Cattle

Aycan Nuriye Gazyagci^{1,a}, Adnan Ayan^{2,b,*}

- ¹ Kırıkkale University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Parasitology, Kırıkkale, Turkey
- ² Van Yüzüncü Yıl University, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Department of Genetics, Van, Turkey

^aORCID: 0000-0002-0997-8780; ^bORCID: 0000-0002-6564-3416

*Corresponding Author

Received: October 28, 2021 E-mail: adnanayan@yyu.edu.tr Accepted: December 11, 2021

It was aimed with present study to determine the assemblage's characterization of Giardia duodenalis and to comparison of pathogenicity of intermittent spread agent with microscopic faecal examination and Nested PCR in cattle. Assemblages A and E were found to be major assemblage of G. duodenalis detected by targeting the amplication of β -giardin with nPCR. Furthermore, it was observed that 7/9 calves that were nPCR negative in the 1st month returned to positivity in the following months (100%). Negative microscopy and rapid test kit evaluations could be detected despite periodic nPCR positivity. In conclusion this study might be represented baseline date for following of faceal excreation period and importance of preventive treatment in cattle.

Keywords: Cattle, Giardiasis, Nested PCR.

INTRODUCTION

Giardia is a unicellular protozoan and causes diarrhea in many animal species including ruminants. (Adam, 2000). Transmission can occur through human-to-human or animal-to-human contact with contaminated water or food. (Rendtorff, 1978; Mark-Carew et al., 2010). Giardia has been studied extensively and has been found to be an important cause of zoonosis. (Craun, 1979; Farthing, 1992; Jephcott et al., 1986). In addition to the zoonotic importance of G. duodenalis, it causes serious economic losses due to the decrease in feed efficiency, growth retardation and diarrhea, thus increasing the awareness of Giardiasis in farm animals today. (O'Handley et al., 2003). Nowadays, the sometimes subclinical course and sensitive molecular detection of Giardiasis is becoming increasingly important. (Gultekin et al., 2017). Many clinicalpathological findings that occur; growth retardation, diarrhea, progressive weight loss, and sometimes death are associated with the proliferation of the agent. (Aloisio et al., 2006). Giardiasis caused by G. intestinalis should be considered as a zoonotic disease because it causes contamination in human and animal life systems. Today, there are 8 different assemblages (A-H) of G. intestinalis according to its molecular character. (Feng and Xiao, 2011). E assemblage, commonly known as 'hoofed assemblage', is used in calves. (O'Handley et al., 2000; Trout et al., 2005; Trout et al., 2007), in sheep and goats (Santín et al., 2007; Ruiz et al., 2008; Lim et al., 2013) Although it is the most common assemblage of G. intestinalis, studies related to assemblage A and B in both ruminant species have been reported. (Trout et al., 2005; Castro-Hermida et al., 2007; Trout et al., 2007; Lim et al., 2013). Species with the main genotypes A and B assemblage responsible for human infection have also been isolated from dogs, cats, livestock and wild animals. (Ayan et al., 2019). Today, there are many studies on the epidemiology of Giardiasis in ruminants. (Trout et al.,

2006; Santín et al., 2007). In addition to causing economic losses with diarrhea, it is thought that determining the regional distribution of the agent due to its zoonotic character may be a pioneer for further studies. (Ayan et al., 2016).

In this study, it was aimed to determine the characterization of G. duodenalis in cattle and to compare the pathogenicity of the intermittent spreading agent with microscopic stool examination and Nested PCR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of samples

Stool samples were manually taken from the rectum of each animal with disposable latex gloves and placed in stool containers. The sex and age of the animal were recorded for each sample collected. Afterwards, the samples were brought to the laboratory and stored at +4°C until analyzed.

Microscopic Examination

Microscopic examination was also examined under the microscope with the native-lugol method.

DNA extraction

DNA extraction was performed from all 9 samples using the GeneMATRIX Stool DNA Purification Kit according to the company protocol. The obtained DNAs were stored at -20°C until the next steps.

Nested PCR reaction

In the first step of Nested PCR, primers defined by Caccio et al. (2002) G7 F5'- AAGCCCGACGACCTCACCCG CAGTGC-3' forward G759R and GAGGCCGCCCTGGATCTTCGAGACGAC-3' reverse primers were used. In the second step of Nested PCR, amplification of the β-giardin gene region was performed using primers defined by Lalle et al. (2005) BG1F 5'-

GAACGAGATCGAGGTCCG-3' forward and BG2R 5'-CTCGACGAGTTCGTG TT-3' reverse. In both reactions, the protocol was applied according to Ayan et al. 2019. The reaction was performed on a Kyratec brand Gradient PCR, SuperCycler device. Then, 1.5% agarose gel was prepared and stained with RedSafeTM Nucleic Acid Staining Solution. Then, PCR products were run on agarose gel and images were obtained on the gel imaging device (Syngene bio imaging system). DNA sequence analysis of the beta-giardin gene region of each of the PCR positive samples was performed. Then, blasting was performed on the sequence analyzes in the gene bank (M36728 for sub-genotype A1, AY072723 for subgenotype A2, AY072724 for sub-genotype A3, AY072725 for sub-genotype B1, AY072726 for sub-genotype B2, AY072727 for sub-genotype B3, AY072728 for subgenotype B4, AY072729 for Assemblage E) accession numbers.

RESULTS

Microscopic Examination Results

Giardia spp. cysts were found in 1 sample in the (400x) examinations of the 9 stool samples examined under the microscope.

Nested PCR Results

Nested PCR was performed on all 9 samples. Specific bands of 511 bp were obtained in 2 of the samples. Assemblage A was detected in 1 of the positive samples and Assemblage E was detected in 1 of the positive samples.

As presented in Table 1, 7/9 calves that were nPCR negative in terms of Giardiasis in the first examinations (within the first month) turned positive in the following months (100%), and second month 6/9, third month 8/9, fourth month 7/9 positivity was detected with nPCR. Despite periodic nPCR positivity, microscopic (mic) and rapid test kit (rtK) evaluations were negative.

Table 1. Monthly follow-up of giardiasis in calves with different diagnostic methods.

Calve no	1. month			2. month			3. month			4. month		
	nPCR	mic	rtK	PCR	Fs	Rdtk	PCR	Fs	Rdtk	PCR	Fs	Rdtk
I	-	-	-	-	-	-	†	†	†	†	†	†
II	†	-	-	†	†	†	†	†	†	-	-	-
III	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	†	†	†
IV	-	-	-	-	-	-	†	†	-	†	†	†
V	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	-	-	-
VI	-	-	-	†	†	†	†	†	-	†	†	†
VII	-	-	-	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†
VIII	-	-	-	†	†	†	†	Ť	†	†	†	†
IX	-	-	-	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†	†

nPCR: nested PCR mic: microscopic evaluation rtK: evaluation with rapid test kit

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Giardia infections have an important place among the important parasitic diseases that can be detected all over the world. Giardia agents cause intestinal infections with extracellular involvement and can lead to chronic diarrhea, dehydration and malabsorption. (Allain et al., 2017; Gutierrez-Gutierrez et al., 2017). Different field studies have been carried out in our country on this subject (Alıç Ural et al., 2014; Alıç Ural et al., 2016; Ayan et al., 2016; Karademir et al., 2016; Alıc Ural et al., 2017; Ayan et al., 2017; Gültekin et al., 2017; Çamkerten et al., 2019). Gültekin et al. (2017) also detected the zoonotic potential A3 assemblage (lower genotype) with a high prevalence in calves of Aydın region. The researchers has publications on Giardiasis with different researchers at different times (Alıç Ural et al., 2016; Alıç Ural et al., 2017; Ayan et al., 2017, Ural et al., 2017; Ayan et al., 2018; Ayan et al., 2019; Çamkerten et al., 2019, Erdoğan et al., 2020). Since the lack of longitudinal studies was observed in this field, a planning was made for our country, and it was especially aimed to draw the attention of our field physicians. In this context, calves were followed for 4 months with a longitudinal (longitudinal, longitudinal) study, and analyzes were carried out within 3 different methods, taking into account intermittent cyst scattering, including calves with previously detected negativity. In other words, considering that the rapid test kits used in the study can detect 125 cysts per microliter and above, mic negativity can be understood despite nPCR positivity. Again, in Giardiasis, intermittent cyst scatter should be considered for diagnosis in field conditions, and patients or subclinical cases should be detected in the longitudinal period.

To determine the prevalence of *G. duodenalis* genotypes in cattle of different ages, faecal samples were

collected from 30 calves from birth to 24 months at a dairy farm in Maryland. Fecal samples were subjected to density gradient centrifugation to remove debris and concentrate cysts. Samples were analyzed by immunofluorescence microscopy and polymerase chain reaction (PCR). All PCR positive samples were sequenced using the SSUrRNA gene of Giardia. All 30 calves shed G. duodenalis cysts during the study. Of 990 samples, 312 were positive for G. duodenalis (31.5%). The highest prevalence of infection occurred at weeks 4 and 5, when 25 of the 30 calves shed cysts at these sampling times. Overall, weaned calves (<8 weeks old) exhibited the highest prevalence (60.8%), followed by weaned calves (3-12 months) (32.1%) and heifers (12-24 months). (11.4%). Sequence analysis of 312 PCR-positive samples revealed the presence of both A and E, G. duodenalis communities with cumulative prevalences of 70% and 100%, respectively. Community A was not detected in preweaning calves, but was detected in 6.9% and 4.7% of weaned calves and heifers, respectively. These data suggest that not only are calves infected simultaneously with Groups A and E, but also that zoonotic community A, G. duodenalis infections are more common than previously reported. Therefore, calves appear to be a more important reservoir for the human infectious G. duodenalis than previous data suggest. (Santin et al., 2009). In our study, however, Assemblage A and E were found to be major assemblages of G. duodenalis, whose β -giardin amplification was targeted by nPCR. In addition, it was observed that 7/9 calves that were nPCR negative in the 1st month turned positive (100%) in the following months and could be detected with negative microscopic and rapid test kit evaluations despite periodic nPCR positivity.

As a result, natural probiotic (Alıç Ural et al., 2020) or clinoptilolite (Alıç Ural and Ural, 2017) etc. feed additives and nutraceuticals, if possible, against *Giardia* disease existing in our country. Preventive treatment with clinoptilolite in ruminants with high risk of clinical manifestation of *Giardia* infestation have favorable effect of mitigation economic loss. It can be said that it is necessary to increase the studies on its use for anti-Giardial purposes.

Financial Support

This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

Adam RD. 2000. The *Giardia lamblia* genome. International Journal for Parasitology, 30(4): 475-484.

Alıç Ural D, Ayan A, Aysul N, Balıkçı C, Ural K. 2014. Secnidazol treatment to improve milk yield in sheep with giardiasis. Atatürk Üniversitesi Veteriner Bilimleri Dergisi, 9(2): 74-82.

Alıç Ural D. Aysul N, Gültekin M. 2016. Buzağılarda oral yolla klinoptilolit uygulamasının doğal yolla oluşan Giardiazis'e karşı etkinliği. Kocatepe Veterinary Journal, 9(4): 288-293.

Alıç Ural D, Erdoğan H, Toplu S, Ayan A. 2017. Oğlaklarda Giardiazis kontrolüne yönelik oral klinoptilolit uygulaması. Kocatepe Veterinary Journal, 10(3): 158-163.

Alıç Ural D, Erdoğan S, Erdoğan H, Ural K. 2020. Neonatal buzağılarda probiyotik katkısının bazı vücut ölçüleri üzerine etkisi. Journal of Advances in VetBio Science and Techniques, 5(2): 48-56.

Alıç Ural D, Ural K. 2017. Effects of short term clinoptilolite supplementation on weight gain in Holstein calves. Revista MVZ Córdoba, 22(1): 5631-5637.

Aloisio F, Filippini G, Antenucci P, Lepri E, Pezzotti G. 2006. Severe weight loss in lambs infected with *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage. Veterinary Parasitology, 142(1): 154-158.

Ayan A, Alic Ural D, Erdogan H, Orunc Kilinc O, Gültekin M, Ural K. 2019. Prevalance and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* in livestock in Van, Turkey. The International Journal of Energy & Engineering Sciences, 9(2): 289-296.

Ayan A, Ural K, Aysul N, Gültekin M, Erdoğan H, Balıkçı C, Toplu S, Toros G. 2016. Natural cyst shedding in calves infected with *Giardia duodenalis*. Journal of Advances in VetBio Science and Techniques, 1(1): 14-19.

Ayan A, Alıç Ural D, Paşa S, Erdoğan S, Erdoğan H. 2018. Klinoptilolit kuzularda giardiazis sağaltımına yönelik alternatif ve doğal bir çözüm olabilir mi?. Journal of Advances in VetBio Science and Techniques, 3(2): 16-

Ayan A, Ural K, Aysul N, Erdoğan H, Alıç Ural D, Gültekin M, Erdoğan S, Küçük E. 2017. Prevalance and diagnosis of *Giardia duodenalis* in goats in Aydın province of Turkey. II International Congress on Advances in Veterinary Sciences & Technics. Skopje, Macedonia, 04-08 October 2017, pp. 119.

Caccio SM, de Giacomo M, Pozio E. 2002. Sequence analysis of the giardin gene and development of a PCRRFLP assay to genotype *Giardia duodenalis* cysts from human faecal samples. International Journal of Parasitology, 32; 1023-1030.

Camkerten G, Erdoğan H, Ural DA, Camkerten I,

Erdoğan S, Ural K. 2019. *Giardia duodenalis* ile doğal enfekte kuzularda serum 25 (OH) D3 Seviyeleri. Kocatepe Veterinary Journal, 12(1): 71-74.

Castro-Hermida JA, Almeida A, GonzálezWarleta M, Correia da Costa JM, Rumbo-Lorenzo C. 2007. Occurrence of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia duodenalis* in healthy adult domestic ruminants. Journal of Parasitology Research, 101(5): 1443-1448.

Craun GF. 1979. Waterborne giardiasis in the United States: a review. American Journal of Public Health, 69(8): 817-819

Erdoğan S, Alıç Ural D, Erdoğan H, Ayan A, Ural K, Özalp T, Günal İ. 2020. Evaluation of serum 25-hydroxy vitamin d3 levels in goat kids naturally infected with *Giardia duodenalis*. Journal of Advances in VetBio Science and Techniques, 5(2): 43-47.

Farthing M. 1992. *Giardia* comes of age: progress in epidemiology, immunology and chemotherapy. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 30(5): 563-566.

Feng Y, Xiao L. 2011. Zoonotic potential and molecular epidemiology of *Giardia* species and giardiasis. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 24: 110-140.

Gultekin M, Ural K, Aysul N, Ayan A, Balikci C, Akyildiz G. 2017. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* in dogs in Aydin, Turkey. International Journal of Environmental Health Research, 27(3): 161-168.

Gultekin M, Ural K, Aysul N, Ayan A, Balikci C, Toplu S, Akyildiz G. 2017. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* in calves in Turkey. Acta Scientiae Veterinariae, 45: 1450.

Haydardedeoğlu AE, Ural K, Orman A, Alıç Ural D. 2018. D-dimer levels as a procoagulative marker in association with disease progress during giardiasis in dogs. Revista MVZ Córdoba, 23(2): 6718-6728.

Jephcott AE, Begg NT, Baker IA. 1986. Outbreak of giardiasis associated with mains water in the United Kingdom. The Lancet, 327(8483): 730-732.

Lalle M, Pozio E, Capelli G, Bruschi F, Crotti D, Cacció SM. 2005. Genetic heterogeneity at the β-giardin locus among human and animal isolates of *Giardia duodenalis* and identification of potentially zoonotic subgenotypes. International Journal of Parasitology, 35: 207-213.

Lim YA, Mahdy MA, Tan TK, Goh XT, Jex AR. 2013. First molecular characterization of *Giardia duodenalis* from goats in Malaysia. Molecular and Cellular Probes, 27(1): 28-31.

Mark-Carew MP, Khan Y, Wade SE, Schaaf S, Mohammed HO. 2010. Incidence of and risks associated with *Giardia* infections in herds on dairy farms in the New York City Watershed. Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica, 52(1): 44.

O'Handley RM. 2002. *Giardia* in farm animals. In: Olson BE, Olson ME, Wallis PM. (Eds.), *Giardia*: The Cosmopolitan Parasite. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, pp. 97-105.

O'Handley RM, Olson ME, Fraser D, Adams P, Thompson RC. 2000. Prevalence and genotypic characterisation of *Giardia* in dairy calves from Western Australia and Western Canada. Veterinary Parasitology, 90(3): 193-200.

Rendtorff RC. 1978. The experimental transmission of *Giardia lamblia* among volunteer subjects, in: Jacubowski W, Hoff JC. (Eds.), Waterborne transmission of giardiasis. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, pp. 64-81.

Santín M, Trout JM, Fayer R. 2007. Prevalence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* and *Giardia* species and genotypes in sheep in Maryland. Veterinary Parasitology, 146(1): 17-24.

Santín M, Trout JM, Fayer R. 2009. A longitudinal study of *Giardia duodenalis* genotypes in dairy cows from birth to 2 years of age. Veterinary parasitology, 162(1-2): 40-45.

Trout JM, Santín M, Fayer R. 2007. Prevalence of *Giardia duodenalis* genotypes in adult dairy cows. Veterinary Parasitology, 147(3): 205-209.

Trout JM, Santin M, Greiner E, Fayer R. 2005. Prevalence and genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis* in postweaned dairy calves. Veterinary Parasitology, 130(3): 177-183.

Trout JM, Santín M, Greiner EC, Fayer R. 2006. Prevalence and genotypes of *Giardia duodenalis* in 1-2 year old dairy cattle. Veterinary Parasitology, 140(3): 217-222.

Ural K, Alic Ural D, Gultekin M, Erdoğan S, Erdogan H. 2017. Combatting against naturally occuring *Giardia duodenalis* assemblage a infections in goat kids (abstract). In: XVII. Middle European Buiatrics Congress, High Tatras, Slovekia. 03-06 May 2017, pp. 21.

Ural K, Paşa S, Alıç Ural D, Erdoğan H, Gültekin M, Ayan A, Erdoğan S. 2018. Calves- and lamb-level association between serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and cryptosporidiosis. Magyar Allatorvosok Lapja, 140: 189-193.